Penn

	IIL004203 , RECI	TATION, Spring, 2022										RE, TYLER
Ter	m	Spring, 2022 (2022A)	Enrollment	20	Schoo	bl	School	l of Arts	& Sciend	ces		
Act	ivity Type	REC	Eligible	20	Divisio	on	-					
Cross Listed Sections		-	Responses	18	Depar	Department		Philosophy				
			Response Rate	90%	Subje	Subject		Philosophy				
				Average Ratings			This Instructor Only Worst RatingBest Rating					Responses
	Question and Sca	le	Instructor	Section	Course	-	0	1	2	3	4	
1	Overall quality of Scale: 0 to 4: Poor,	t he TA. <i>Fair, Good, Very good, Excellent</i>	3.41	3.41	3.29	-	0% 0	6% 1	12% 2	18% 3	65% 11	17
2	The TA communic Scale: 0 to 4: Stron Agree, Strongly agi	gly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagr	3.46 ee,	3.46	3.41	-	0% 0	8% 1	8% 1	15% 2	69% 9	13
3	The TA effectively stimulated my interest. Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree		3.54 ee,	3.54	3.21	-	0% 0	0% 0	8% 1	31% 4	62% 8	13
4	The TA was appropriately accessible outside of class time. Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree		3.69 ee,	3.69	3.54	-	0% 0	0% 0	0% 0	31% 4	69% 9	13
5	•	to learn in this course. gly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagr ee	3.69 ee,	3.69	3.74	-	0% 0	0% 0	0% 0	31% 4	69% 9	13
6	understanding of	ction was well integrated with and enhanced the lecture material. gly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagr ree	-	3.75	3.72	-	0% 0	0% 0	8% 1	8% 1	83% 10	12

PHIL004203, RECITATION, Spring, 2022

RE, TYLER

Comment Suggestion

The recitation was hit or miss; sometimes really helpful for synthesizing information from lecture, other times just a regurgitation of lecture. pretty much everything i learned/understood in this course was from tyler.

Tyler was a fantastic TA who really helped my understanding of the material and was crucial to my success in the course.

Penn

University of Pennsylvania · Instructor and Course Evaluation Report

PHIL004204, RECITATION, Spring, 2022											RE, TYLER	
Те	erm	Spring, 2022 (2022A)	Enrollment	20	Schoo	d	School	of Arts	& Scien	ces		
Activity Type		REC	Eligible	20		Division		-				
Cross Listed Sections		-	Responses	17	Depar	Department		Philosophy				
			Response Rate	sponse Rate 85% Subject		Philosophy						
				Average Ratings				This Instructor Only Worst RatingBest Rating				
	Question and Scal	le	Instructor	Section	Course	-	0	1	2	3	4	
1	Overall quality of the Scale: 0 to 4: Poor,	t he TA. Fair, Good, Very good, Excellent	3.63	3.63	3.29	-	0% 0	0% 0	0% 0	38% 6	63% 10	16
2		gly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagr	3.62 ree,	3.62	3.41	-	0% 0	0% 0	8% 1	23% 3	69% 9	13
3		stimulated my interest. gly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagr ee	3.23 ee,	3.23	3.21	-	8% 1	0% 0	8% 1	31% 4	54% 7	13
4		priately accessible outside of class time. gly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagr ee	3.62 ree,	3.62	3.54	-	0% 0	0% 0	0% 0	38% 5	62% 8	13
5		to learn in this course. gly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagr ee	3.69 ee,	3.69	3.74	-	0% 0	0% 0	0% 0	31% 4	69% 9	13
6	understanding of	ction was well integrated with and enhanced the lecture material. gly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagr ree	-	3.69	3.72	-	0% 0	0% 0	0% 0	31% 4	69% 9	13

Comment Suggestion

Tyler was really helpful in parsing apart the complicated reading material of the class!

Tyler was one of the most supportive TAs I've ever had. He teaches the material well, but at the same time, he is very understanding and empathetic with the college student experience. He is more than just a TA; he is like a friend to his students. I hope I can take one of his courses in the next few years!

Tyler was the only good thing about this course. He absolutely didn't stimulate my interest in the course material, but that's just because the course material itself is hopelessly uninteresting. He was very good in helping me when we met to discuss essay prompts.

Tyler was a phenomenal TA- the class material was pretty boring and lectures were confusing, recitation saved me from being totally lost in this course. Tyler went above and beyond to requirements of the job and clearly cares about each student.

Very humorous and knowledgeable. Very helpful in general

TA was engaging and clarified confusing aspects of the readings/course and explained concepts well. I know recitations are only an hour long, but it would've been helpful to directly respond to the questions the professor raised in the 'Pages' section for each week's readings.

This TA is the best educator I have ever had the pleasure of meeting. Truly a joy being in his recitation! Such a committed and intelligent teacher.

Tyler is an incredible TA and (if he choses to do this) will one day make an incredible philosophy professor. I feel like when you think of philosophy classes you think of old men with beards talking in a monotonous, abstract, and honestly quite boring manner. Tyler could not be any different. He was always amiable, charismatic, and excited to talk about philosophy which made each student in the recitation at least mildly excited to learn about philosophy. He has an ability to distill even the most complex arguments so that they are digestible to everyone, and he always responds to students' questions in a clear way. As someone who realized they did not like philosophy too late to drop this class, I can safely say that Tyler made this semester more than bearable.

The TA was great in all ways but sometimes it felt difficult to apply what we did in recitation to the assignments.