



University of Pennsylvania · Instructor and Course Evaluation Report

PHIL2450301, Justice, Law and Morality, Fall, 2024

RE, TYLER

Term	Fall, 2024 (202430)	Enrollment	28	School	School of Arts & Sciences
Activity Type	SEM	Eligible	28	Division	-
Cross Listed Sections	-	Responses	26	Department	Philosophy
		Response Rate	93%	Subject	Philosophy

Question and Scale	Average Ratings				This Instructor Only Worst Rating...Best Rating					Responses
	Instructor	Section	Course	-	0	1	2	3	4	
1 Overall quality of the instructor. <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Poor, Fair, Good, Very good, Excellent</i>	3.36	3.36	3.36	-	0%	0%	20%	24%	56%	25
2 Overall quality of the course. <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Poor, Fair, Good, Very good, Excellent</i>	3.24	3.24	3.24	-	0%	0%	16%	44%	40%	25
3 Overall quality of the TA(s) if applicable. <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Poor, Fair, Good, Very good, Excellent, N/A,</i>	4.00	4.00	4.00	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	1
4 The instructor clearly communicated the subject matter. <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	3.36	3.36	3.36	-	0%	5%	0%	50%	45%	22
5 The instructor effectively stimulated my interest. <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	3.64	3.64	3.64	-	0%	0%	0%	36%	64%	22
6 The instructor was appropriately accessible outside of class time. <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	3.59	3.59	3.59	-	0%	0%	0%	41%	59%	22
7 As a result of taking this course, I have a better understanding of factual knowledge, principles and/or theories in this area. <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	3.68	3.68	3.68	-	0%	0%	0%	32%	68%	22
8 This course helped me to improve my ability to analyze, solve problems and/or think critically. <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	3.27	3.27	3.27	-	0%	9%	9%	27%	55%	22
9 This course helped me to understand how this field asks and answers questions. <i>Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree</i>	3.68	3.68	3.68	-	0%	0%	5%	23%	73%	22

Question and Scale	Instructor	Average Ratings			This Instructor Only					Responses
		Section	Course	-	Worst Rating	...	Best Rating	1	2	
10 This course challenged me to consider new ideas, concepts, or ways of thinking. Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree	3.59	3.59	3.59	-	0%	0%	5%	32%	64%	22
11 As a result of taking this course, I am more excited by this field of study. Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree	3.41	3.41	3.41	-	0%	0%	14%	32%	55%	22
12 Please rate the difficulty of the course. Scale: 0 to 4: Easy 0, 1, 2, 3, Difficult 4	2.05	2.05	2.05	-	0%	14%	73%	9%	5%	22
13 Please rate the amount of work required for this course. Scale: 0 to 4: Very Little 0, 1, 2, 3, Very Much 4	1.91	1.91	1.91	-	0%	23%	64%	14%	0%	22
14 To your knowledge, has there been cheating in this course? Scale: 0 to 1: Y, N	1.00	-	-	-	0%	100%	-	-	-	21

Comment Suggestion

Great just wish the professor was better about communicating course expectations and assignments ahead of time

Professor was disorganized and frequently lacked clear communication.

Tyler was amazing all semester. A thoroughly engaging professor, he brought a unique energy to the classroom that promoted a cohesive and welcoming environment. Would definitely take a class with him again.

This was an overall wonderful class, i think if there was slightly more lecturing with come of the more confusing case and opinion summarised more things would have been slighly easier

This is one of my favorite classes I have taken at Penn, and Tyler is a wonderful teacher! I have already recommended it to many of my peers.

Phenomenal instructor and really changed my mindset on law and government, as well as the nuanced perspectives on political issues

This course was exceptionally interesting to me. I liked the structure of the class with one Professor and ~30 or so students in a seminar-like environment. The course content was intriguing and although there were a lot of readings the instructor was very accommodating and flexible in reviewing material so that students could keep up should they fall behind on some of the assignments.

It was a good introduction to many of the theories on the subjects we explored. I felt that it was, in some parts, unstructured. But, Professor Re is a great instructor who considers the needs of his students.